F	١	L	E	D
•	-	_		

KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney

NOV 2 2 2006

MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

C. DAVID HALL (CSBN 66081) Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6758

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Criminal No. CR 3-06-70738 EMC
Plaintiff,	
v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CARLOS NUNEZ PEREZ,	
Defendant.	_}

The above-captioned matter came before the Court on November 21, 2006, for detention hearing in United States Magistrate Court. The defendant was represented by Steven Kalar, Federal Public Defender, and the government was represented by Philip Kearney, Assistant United States Attorney. The case was continued for preliminary hearing to December 13, 2006, at 9:30 a.m. The Court made a finding on the record that the time between November 21, 2006, and December 13, 2006, should be excluded under both the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), and Rule 5, because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 3-06-70738 EMC defendant in a speedy trial. That finding was based on the defendant's request to join with his alleged co-perpetrators, and to insure the effective preparation of counsel. Counsel for the defendant indicated a need for additional time to adequately prepare the matter, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. That finding was made pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until December 13, 2006 at 9:30 a.m., and that an exclusion of time until that date be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

DATED: 11/21/06

STEVEN KALAR, ESQ. Counsel for DEFENDANT

DATED: 11/21/06

Assistant United States Attorney

It is so ordered.

DATED: 11/22/06

EDWARD M. CHEN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE